

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,566	11/16/2000	Masami Ueda	F-6690	6006	
7:	590 04/10/2002				
Jordan and Hamburg			EXAMINER		
122 East 42nd S New York, NY			COMBS, J	COMBS, JANELL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	8	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MF-8			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	09/700,566	UEDA ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janelle Combs-Mo	rillo 1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minimul apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be g date of this communication	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 .						
,	nis action is non-fina					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.			-			
		ration				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Pap otice of Informal Patent Applicatio ther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/700,566

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'10 193038 (JP'038).

JP'038 teaches a maraging steel composition consisting of (in weight%): 0.03% or less C, 0.10% or less Si, 0.10% or less Mn, 0.01% or less P, 0.01% or less S, 10-20% Ni, 3-7% Mo, 5-15% Co, 0.05-0.15% Al, 0.2-0.9% Ti, balance Fe (claim 1 translation), which substantially overlaps the presently claimed alloy composition. JP'038 does not teach the segregation ratio for Ti or Mo (instant claim 1) or the nonmetallic inclusion size.

However, the examiner asserts that "products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims (such as segregation ratio and nonmetallic inclusions) are necessarily present.

Art Unit: 1742

Because of the overlap in composition ranges, it is held that JP'038 has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (703) 308-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7719 for regular communications and (703) 305-7719 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

jcm

April 8, 2002

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI